

Navigating the crossroads between chemistry and mathematics – how much is actually "just maths"?

Sofie Ye,¹ Maja Elmgren,¹ Magnus Jacobsson,² <u>Felix Ho</u>¹ ¹Department of Chemistry – Ångström Laboratory ²Department of Mathematics Uppsala University, Sweden







- Crossroads between chemistry and mathematics
- Systems thinking
- IR-cameras in chemistry teaching



"Students just can't do maths"



Why does it matter?

- Mathematics is part of the language of chemistry, also for tool for analysis and modelling. e.g. Towns, Bain & Rodriguez (eds, 2019); Johnstone (1991, 2009)
- Documented difficulties chemistry students have with mathematics.
 Algorithmic, "plug-and-chug" vs. conceptual understanding
 e.g. Camacho & Good (1989); Potgieter et al, 2008; Chandrasegaran et al (2009); Becker & Towns (2012)
- Thinking with models vs. thinking about models Schwarz et al (2016), Winschitl et al (2008), Lazenby & Becker (2019)



Why does it matter?

- Pinpointing where support is (additionally) needed
 - E.g. in remedial / preparatory courses
- Framework for designing instruction and support

• Still under-researched, especially at the HE level; much room for collaboration across DBER fields.

e.g. Towns, Bain & Rodriguez (eds, 2019); Bain et al (2019)





How can we study the interactions between chemistry and mathematics?

What kind of interactions?

Where can we find them?

How would we characterise and classify them?

What processes are involved?

What do we mean by maths and "doing maths"?



The "anti-cliffhanger"

• It's much more than teaching and learning the "right" maths and the "right" chemistry

 there are many more factors at play than content in the learning and using mathematics contextualised in other disciplines

 There are numerous issues concerning aims and goals for teaching and learning that effect the answer to how mathematics education in/for other disciplines should be approached



Ye et al, Chemistry Education Research and Practice (2024), 25, 242 - 265

Student problem-solving in chemical kinetics

The following mechanism has been proposed for the decomposition of perbenzoic acid ($C_6H_5CO_3H$) in water:

 $C_{6}H_{5}CO_{3}H \stackrel{k_{1}}{\underset{k_{-1}}{\leftarrow}} C_{6}H_{5}CO_{3}^{-} + H^{+}$ $C_{6}H_{5}CO_{3}H + C_{6}H_{5}CO_{3}^{-} \stackrel{k_{2}}{\xrightarrow{}} C_{6}H_{5}CO_{2}H + C_{6}H_{5}CO_{2}^{-} + O_{2}$ $C_{6}H_{5}CO_{2}^{-} + H^{+} \stackrel{k_{3}}{\longrightarrow} C_{6}H_{5}CO_{2}H$

Derive an expression for the **rate of formation of O**₂. Assume that the steady-state approximation is applicable. Explain your thinking out loud.





Resource framework & epistemological framing

Knowledge is constructed from small cognitive units (resources) connected in dynamic networks.

What resources that are **activated** depends on the **context (framing)**.



What is it that's going on here?

See also the literature on transfer

Hammer & Elby (2002, 2003); Hammer, Elby, Scherr, & Redish (2005); Holme, Luxford, & Brandriet (2015) See also Ivanjek (2016)

Mathematical Modelling Cycle (MMC)



Various versions have been proposed

 Idealised process, non-linear in reality, "bouncing around"

e.g. Borromeo Ferri (2007); Lesh & Doerr (2012); Doerr et al (2017)

• Technical vs structural mathematics Pietrocola (2008); Uhden et al (2012)

EMK = extra mathematical knowledge



Adapted from Borromeo Ferri (2006); Blum & Leiß (2005).

See also: Doerr et al (2017), Ho et al (2019)











EVALUATION

UNIVERSITE

Deliberating, mathematics resources

E: Since we have a zero here... we can just set it up like... let's see now... [*silence*] This here is in both $[C_6H_5CO_3H, terms 1 and 3, expression 2]... this here is in two <math>[C_6H_5CO_3+terms 2 and 3, expression 2]$... hm... What was it we do here then?

Deliberating, chemistry resources

E: OK, so the final goal is like... we want to be able to write this $[C_6H_5CO_3^{-7} term 2, expression 2]$ in another way that only... is expressed in only that $[C_6H_5CO_3H]$ and that $[H^+]$. Now, that $[H^+]$ we don't want either since it's also an intermediate... only expressed in this $[C_6H_5CO_3H]$, right?

Deliberating, other resources

E: ... then we had something about that f**king symmetry that he talked about so that you can just like add them together or seomthing if you feel like it and everything is much easier.







Interpretation and validation

$$\frac{d[O_2]}{dt} = \frac{k_1 k_2 [C_6 H_5 CO_3 H]^2}{k_{-1} [H^+] + k_2 [C_6 H_5 CO_3 H]}$$

UPPSALA UNIVERSITET



Interpretation of physical meaning

- G: Mm... and then we say that this [*oxygen production*] depends on... All of this [*rate law for oxygen production*] has a k₄ but it depends on... Let's see. It [*oxygen production*] depends on two different things on how fast the concentration of the hydrogen and on the concentration of this [$C_6H_5CO_3H$] that I'm too tired to say.
- H: Perbenzoic acid
- G: Yes, squared.

Validation

Other resources

A: OK, erm... Or are we supposed to have such a long expression [*expression 6*]?

- B: I don't know, it feels like that we could get something really... But then again, haven't we got such long expressions in like the tutorials?
- A: Yeah, maybe we have? Sometimes you can just simplify it heaps... but I... but I don't know, can't see at all how you could do that here...

Interpretation with validation

 $\frac{d[O_2]}{dt} = \frac{k_1 k_2 [C_6 H_5 CO_3 H]^2}{k_{-1} [H^+] + k_2 [C_6 H_5 CO_3 H]} \approx k_1 [C_6 H_5 CO_3 H] \text{ if } k_2 \gg k_{-1}$



UPPSALA UNIVERSITET Some highlights of insights...



The model is idealised...





Problem-solving trajectories

Andrea: 'OK, uhm... Or are we supposed to have such a long expression?' Alice: 'I don't know... It feels like one would get a much... Although haven't we gotten expressions this long at the lessons?' Andrea: 'Maybe we have? But sometimes you can like ... reduce a lot. I just don't see how we can do anything more with this.'

model

MW

math. result

= chemical resources

= other resources

mode

real

result



Being 'good at maths' is not enough!





Being 'good at maths' is not enough!

KIEC6H5CO3H3- K2EC6H5CO3H3EC6H5CO3 [H+] = K-1 [C6H3(03-] [H+7]= k1 [C6H5(03H] - k2 [C6H5(00H] (k1 [C6H3(03H]) [H+7]= k1 [C6H5(03H] - k2 [C6H5(00H] (k1 [CH+1]+122[GH3A4) 9Ħ) K-1 (K1 E 66 45(00 H) K1 E 66 45(00 H)][#] (Alcale と、EC6件5(03件) ECut 5(03) = by (KIECH + 1014 - ton Collector) 5E Coll 5103] ENE (645(05) 7 Kon El Challe H3(03 [(HS(0)] =

$$\begin{aligned} & k_{1} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}H] = k_{1} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}^{-1}][H^{+}] + k_{2} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}H^{-}]] + k_{2} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}H^{-}]] \\ & = k_{2} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}^{-1}] = \frac{k_{1} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}H^{-}]]}{k_{1} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}^{-1}]] + k_{2} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}H^{-}]]} \\ & = k_{1} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}^{-1}] = \frac{k_{1} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}^{-1}H^{-}]]}{k_{1} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}^{-1}]]} \\ & = k_{1} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}^{-1}]] = k_{1} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}^{-1}]] + k_{2} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{2}^{-1}]] \\ & = k_{1} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}^{-1}]] + k_{2} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{2}^{-1}]] = k_{1} [L_{6}H_{5}(O_{2}^{-1}]] \\ & = k_{1} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}^{-1}]] + k_{2} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{2}^{-1}]] \\ & = k_{1} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}^{-1}]] + k_{2} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{2}^{-1}]] \\ & = k_{1} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}^{-1}]] = k_{2} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{2}^{-1}]] \\ & = k_{1} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}^{-1}]] + k_{2} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{2}^{-1}]] \\ & = k_{1} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}^{-1}]] + k_{2} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{2}^{-1}]] \\ & = k_{1} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}^{-1}]] + k_{2} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}^{-1}]] \\ & = k_{1} [C_{6}H_{5}(O_{3}^{-1}]] \\ & = k_{1}$$

3.

$$\frac{d(02)}{04} = k_2 [6649(0344)] [c649(03)] [444] - k_1 [c649(0344)] - k_2 [c649(0344)] [c649(0344)] - k_2 [c649(0344)] [c649(03344)] - k_2 [c649(0344)] - k_2 [c649(03344)] - k_2 [c649(0334)] [c649(0334)] - k_2 [c649(0334)] [c649(0334)] - k_2 [c649(0334)] - k_2 [c649(0334)] - k_2 [c649(0334)] [c6649(0334)] - k_2 [c649(0334)] - k_2 [c649(034)] - k_2 [c649(034)] -$$

UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

EMRs can help students 'Get back on track'!



Moments of uncertainty, metacognitive red-flags and intuition



Goos, The Journal of Mathematical Behaviour **2002**, *21*, 283-302

There's more than explicit or strict content knowledge

implicit models of results

'This was a *very* complicated rate law, or are we *making* it too complicated?' 'But it feels like it's going to be really very long...?'

explicit examples from experience/episodic memory

'... then we had something about that f**king symmetry that he talked about ...'

'But then again, haven't we got such long expressions in like the tutorials?'

- Helped students identify possible issues, or provide reassurance
- Compare with expert behaviour and intuition



Ye et al, manuscript in preparation

Relationship between procedural and conceptual resources



Ye et al, manuscript in preparation

From an on-going study focusing on mathematisation...

You are studying a reaction with the net equation: $X \rightarrow P$. Using the given absorbance data, determine the reaction order of the consumption of X.

Table 1. Absorption coefficients.					
λ (nm)	300	350	375	450	
$\epsilon_{\rm P}$ / L mol ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹	0,00	1,27	3,27	11,1	
λ (nm)	300	350	375	450	
ϵ_{χ} / L mol ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹	16,8	6,38	3,87	0,00	



t (min)	Abs (375 nm)	Abs (450 nm)	
0,00	0,194	0,000	
0,48	0,123	0,011	
1,50	0,064	0,072	
2,46	0,059	0,142	
4,03	0,076	0,247	
7,57	0,119	0,402	



What is the concentration of X at different points in time?

 $A = \varepsilon c l$

$$A(t)_{300} = \varepsilon_{X_{300}} \boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{X}}(\boldsymbol{t})l$$

$$A(t)_{375} = A_{\rm X} + A_{\rm P}$$
$$= \varepsilon_{\rm X_{375}} c_{\rm X}(t) l + \varepsilon_{\rm P_{375}} c_{\rm P}(t) l$$

$$\boldsymbol{c_{X}(t)} = \frac{A(t)_{375} - \varepsilon_{P_{375}} c_{P}(t)l}{\varepsilon_{X_{375}} l}$$

Chemical concepts = absorbance (familiar) Mathematical work = basic arithmetic operations (familiar)

Mathematisation = translation of time-dependent chemical phenomena to an appropriate mathematical model (unfamiliar)

Just because you can, doesn't mean you should...







.

CC

С_м

Ye et al, manuscript in preparation

University teachers' conception of the role of mathematics in non-mathematical disciplines - a phenomenographic study

- Tension between teachers' and students' views
- Tension between the reasons and goals for what, why and how mathematics is taught

A philosophical foundation

An agent for development

A key to understanding

A language for communication

A computational tool



Koeffer, Polverini et al (2024, soon submitted)



Shulman (1986), Kind & Chan (2019)

Who should teach "the intersection"? What should be taught?

??

What questions can be asked?

??

Why teach what, how, by whom?

Interdisciplinary collaborations



The "anti-cliffhanger" (Attempted) Summary

- It's much more than teaching and learning the "right" maths and the "right" chemistry
 - A range of subprocesses during the problem-solving process with intricate involvement of various disciplinary and non-disciplinary resources
 - Appropriate activation, productive application and different roles of resources in problem solving
 - \circ "Being good at" or productive applicaton of maths interact highly with the disciplinary context
 - Deciphering physical significance in mathematical formalisms, judgement in context
 - $\circ~$ Importance of metacognitive control, development of expertise and intuition
- There are numerous issues concerning aims and goals for teaching and learning that effect the answer to how mathematics education in/for other disciplines should be approached
 - $\circ~$ How do teachers themselves conceive the role of maths, how is this communicated
 - $\,\circ\,$ Important questions of what, why, how and by whom



UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

